#### In The United States District Court

Ronald G. Johnson V. Petitioner	7 Chillery	
State OF Delaware,	Civil Action No.	25-258 (I(A))
Supreme Court, of The State of Delaware,	>	FILED
Social Count of The	)	NOV - 3 2005
State of Oclawore Raphael, William Worden e	fal.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

# Writ OF Error And Appeal

Comes Now, the Petitioner Ronald G. Johnson # 182421
Held at Howard R. You Correctional Institution, Gander Hill Prison
1301 N. 12th Street Wilmington De. 19809. Mailing Address P. A. Box
9561 Wilmington De 19809 and Move this Honorable Court to Pocass Fite and Proceed with my Writ OF Error regarding
Case No. 29672005 Filed in Supreme Court OF State OF Delaware.
Insupport there of I present the Following.

# Supreme Court Errors "Statement of The Case and Facts

The Supreme Court has made a Errorous Mandate Ruling and refuse to re Consider or grant warguement or rehearing or Writ of Error I have no other remedy than Appeal and or Petition this Honorable Court For Writ of Error.

#### Certified Question

Come Now the Petitioner and Move this Honorable Court to address the Certified Question this Case No 296, 2005 brings. There is No just way to resolve this Case with-out Causing a mis. Corraige of Justice unless you address the Certified Question it brings. To Cleanify the Questions I present the following.

# Statement OF The Facts and Case

The Court must Visit or revisit two previous Ruling of Delaware Case law. One of it's own see, e.g., Hall v. Carr, 692 A. 2d 888, 891 (Del. 1997) Which explains Under State, habeas Corpus is not available for a person Committed or detained on a Felony Charge, "the Species is plainly and Fully set Forth in the Commitment."

The Second is Joy V. Superior Court 198 A. 22 315, 316 (Del. 1972) Which explains a Indictment having been returned Superior Court has jurisdiction over the defendant and the offense.

### Fact of The Case

Though it appears my Writ OF Habeas Corpus Case is exactly like the two cases above. OIT appears I was charged by a grand jury and I am detained or committed on a Felony Bot my case is totaly different.

In The Jay V. Superior Court Case he argued the same thing I argued. That there was not enough evidence at Preliminary or Prabable Cause to bind his case over to superior Court and to Send ite to a grand jury. While his Motion to Dismiss the Case was pending he was indicted (Same as I was.) He was then arraigned and given a bail or bond.

Status of Criminal Case # 0504012348
The Status of my Criminal Case is it appears I was

Indicted and held on bail or bond, as the two defendants in the Joy V. Superior Court and Hall V. Carr Case.

Error of Case "
The Defference in my Case than the Case of Corrand) Hall, Joy

The difference between my case and the Case of Carr. and of Hall or Joy v. Superior Court 298 A. 2d 315,316 (Del. 1972) is in Joy's case the defendant was "legally" arrested, and likewise in the Hall v. Carr, 692 A.2d 888 891 (Del. 1997) case, the defendants was legally arrested.

But there is a difference in my case then both those Cases. I was not Legally arrested. In Fact I was never arrest at all. I gave my sworn statement and Affidavit OF Declaration to the Facts that Clearly show I was not arrested.

In the Joy v. Superior Court case and the Hall v. Carr Case not one of the defendants made the Claim that they were not arrest or Legally arrested.

Certified Question (and) Issue For Review.

The Court Should reflect back to it's Mandate or Judgement Ruling to Affirm the judgment of Superior Courty and the respondant the State's Motion to Affirm and decide and reconsider Whether it would have ruled to Affirm If I or the petitioner was not arrested. Or Legally arrested.

Error, Different Issue for review and Question "
This Writ of Error brings a different Situation than

the Hall and Carr Case or Hall v. Carr Case as well as the Joy v. Superior Court Case.

# Fact OF The Case

My case adds more to my advantage than the Case of the Hall v. Carr and Joy v. Superior Court Cases.

"I was not arrested ("I was braught in this prison by a Howard R. Young Correctional Officer of Gander Hill Prison.

1) There was no New Castle County police officer who made arrest of me the petitioner

Question Did the Court make a Error By Not Finding out the facts

I swear and File my AFFIdavit and Declaration that I was Not arrested. Did the Court make a Error by not Finding out the Facts. Did this Honorable Supreme Court justices make a Error by not ordering a response to the Interrogator Question below

Interrogator Questions

(IF I was Arrested then)

(e) Who was the arresting officer?

(b) What Police Station was I taken to?

(c.) Who booked me on these Charges?

(d.) Who took my Finger prints ?

(6) Who tak my picture ?

(F.) Which magistrate I appeared before?

"Question Presented would this Honorable Court Rule the some

1 IF I was illegally brought in this prison and No warrent was Filed. And I was not brought in this prison for the Criminal OFFense Charged. And no officer press Charge but the prosecutor

Proceeded With a warrent she typed, if though a officer had filed them or it (the warrent).

## Certified Question

Would This Honorable Court Ruled the Same IF

IF I was illegally brought in this prison by Howard Ryoung Correctional Ignstitution Staff, And not a officer of New Castle County and not for criminal Charges. Then the prosecuter types a warrent have me subpeanced to preliminary hearing, presents the warrent as true. The judge of preliminary seeing the invalid fake warrent the prosecuter typed up. The the prosecuter calls officer of New Castle County who has not seen my arrest. Nor was there to testify. His testimony was he did not press any Charges Nor did any other officer. That he did not see my arrest. When asked the Court Judge for a valid warrent the Judge, Nor prosecuter Could Presente a valid one. When I the petitioner ask the prosecuter who was the arresting officer she Could not tell me, Nor Could the judge.

Fact OF Illegal Detention
At that point above was I Legally detained?

After the Preliminary Heaving on April 22, 2005 the Judge ruled or Denied my Motion to Dismiss, not knowing who the arresting officer was, Nor being able to present a valid warrent, Her Nor the State prosecuter. I was later indicted, aucston does a indictment make those errors of the being illegally detain valid?

Having Identified the different between my case and the two Cases the prosecutor used to get this Court to Affirm the Superior Court Judgment. Would this Court decide to Affirm? Yes a Indictment was file but it was obtain by illegal conduct and Fraud. Conclution the Court Shoold order a answer to the Interrogatory Questions it will Prove if I was Indeedillegally arrested or not. Anything less is a miscarraige of Justice Oath and Affidavit I Dechre all it true under perjury actober 1,2005 Randed Jahren

